The second of th

ัลนี แอกริง y อน <u>ริง</u>ริง โด โดร อ โดน โด และนี้ y โดร ตั้งกริง กะโด โดน y อน 4 The หรัง แ b <u>ร</u>านี โด โดกเรีย รังโดก กิจกับ bu กะโด โด ก

Income Distribution Analysis

b _5 utly then to first c_turen to u on surey PS is to 99 99 to ficially first ten u Bureu _5 nnu ure in to first on the person new ton ye is the country person new ton ye is the country of the countr

Τં તેમ મુક્ક પાંચ્યુઇ ઇમાં જિલ્લા છે. તેમાં ઇ તમાં લા પાંચ પ્રાથમિક પ્રાથ

ัด โดย 6 ก โดย 6 ก การ 6 ก การ 6 ปกกติด แบกติด แบกติด แบก โดย การ 6 ปกกติด เการ 6 ปกติด เการ 6 ปกต

There is not not be to b

The solution is solved and solved

o nun this is o c ກ cis c istu n ກ b cis is is c c y b ist b is is is c is ntl ou is ist lb c b c ist is is b is n is b is b n b is n nob is istu y n is n is is b n is b n ob is is a is b on n n T is y is st b is is is b n istuc b n b cis n ob n unc b n _ ກ cist ntl is n n is b n ob is n n is n is so o cis A b n b is this b n b u u is is is c ກ is n n is b u n n is b n n u is b c is is is is y _ is is n is u y . It is is not is n b n n is b n n u is b c is is is is y _ is is n is u y . It is is a b is is is a b u u is is a c n b n is not is n is u y . It is is a c n b n is not is is u y

Table 1: Ratio of Incomes of Top and Bottom Fifths of Families: US, NYC & Other Cities

		99					
	Average Income of Bottom Fifth of Families	Average Income of Top Fifth of of Families	Top-to bottom Ratio	Average Income of Bottom Fifth of Families	Average Income of Top Fifth of Families	bottom	Percent Change 1992-99
A S	₹ 2•3	88 ₄ ² 98 ₉₂	▼ ▼ ▼	95.4 3/8	32 2 3 4 8	22 9	2 2
B or Pana Pana	3,4 ₂ 3,2 -9,4	79.4 9.2 2 9 8	\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{	7 5 ▼ ▼ 2 2 ▼ 2	3/88 3/82 29/	2 2	383
\$n rnc ob \$bu ĉ Au ბъ	c cu on i≪4	√ 6 n _C P\$	999 6	T++c js C	- 9.6 P\$ 60 4 not 6	iscis ist n	▼ 1 ŷ*•

Table 2: General Characteristics of New York City's Labor Market


	New	York C	ity	Ur	United States			
	1992	1999	Diff	1992	1999	Diff		
Annual Income	3,9	, 4 / ♣		39 _	,4 ,4	39 -		
La or Force 🖔	•	2		•	2			
b n	49 9	-	3	9.4	4 آ	3		
ne o yel		} •	3	.49	33	.•'		
δ n is L δ β co	449	,4,4 *	Ţ	3 3	3.43			
Education %	2 🗣	2		2	2 ,	2		
L ₂ n - v k	² 9/ ⁸	² 33 ₈		² 3 9	* , 4	-		
To the notal of	39	2 /0	. 8	9		2.4		
№ Sc. 66 Nu 🕏	3 3	. • '	3 2	33	3 ₈	- ,4 _R		
s adas	3,3			₹ 2 2	/	/		
A oc to the the		,4,4	2,4	2 2	', ₹	232		
BA the rise	,4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	_	,4,4	2		
o upo n va is	₹ ,**	₹		9	,•′ ₹			
Industry	2 8	2_		45	4	_		
Con ucon	8/	38	2.2	,43	4.8	3 3		
M nu cu n	/ 4 /8	, 4 /	33	4.4	9 /	75		
T n b cb cn	, = /	.49	2	2	2 ₹	3		
j bis is T ske Pêse T ske	84	₹	8	2 2	2,0			
rn nos Re 🖛 is	7 2	.: 4	2	42	43			
n nous a se	² 3 4	2 4		3	2, , 3			
Pu c Au n on	3. '	2	₹,	3 2	3 ²			
के के कि	42 ₹	4 ² 4	3	3 _	3			
	´ Ţ	′ ′	•	, ≜	•	₹		

Table 3: Characteristics of NYC Labor Market by Income Group

	1 st Quintile		2 nd Quintile		3 rd Quintile		4 th Quintile		5 th Quintile	
	1992	1999	<u>1992</u>	1999	<u>1992</u>	1999	<u>1992</u>	1999	<u>1992</u>	1999
Income A no is L A is is no is	9 ₂ ,4	₹/ <mark>*</mark> ₹/*▼	2	3 4	2 3 2	.4 •'	, 4 √8 *	·9.4/8	² /899 .	.4

Appendix Table: Regression Results

Factors associated with eing in the lowest Income Quintile



Factors associated with eing Out of the La or Force

