inside account of the lives of New York's sanitation workers and tracing the evolution of the city's battles to clean its streets, Nagle has made a strong case to include sanitation work in the "marked" category.

up and heaving into a truck. Nagle also learned that the position of supervisor, while seemingly appealing, is generally shunned

On a camping trip when she was ten years old, behind a campstite ordinary workers due to the initial pay cut upon accepting the that had otherwise seemed pure and unspoiled, Nagle discovereposition, the forced realignment of relationships with coworkers, 40-foot-wide pile of garbage that upset her childhood assumptions about adults' concern for their environment. This sparked an interest in waste management that years later led her to become a

interest in waste management that years later led her to become a New York sanitation worker – at the same time she was becoming a professor at NYU. But the process of gaining access to sanitation eldwork and later becoming fully employed were not as easy as she'd expected.

New York's Department of Sanitation (DSNY) has not had the greatest relationship with the media over the years. Reporters who'd been granted the opportunity to interview staff would ask unassuming questions and generally appear pleasant. But in most of the resulting publications, the department would emerge with its reputation even further damaged. To make matters worse, on a eld trip with her NYU students to Fresh Kills Land II on Staten Island, once the largest land II in the world, Nagle allowed a New York Times reporter to come along, without rst receiving permission from the DSNY. This mistake, coupled with the DSNY's general disdain for the media, barred Nagle from her quest to do sanitation eldwork for several years. It was not until 2002 that Vito Turso, DSNY's newly appointed Deputy Commissioner for Public Information, allowed her to visit a DSNMtréquired to fully commit both her mind and body toward picking

displaying pictures of naked women meant to rile Nagle, as one experiences during her time as a sanitation worker are illuminating the workers went on a misogynistic rant. Nagle walked out of thend thought-provoking. Inevitably, her views only represent a garage, to remove herself from the situation, and returned to simmited perspective — that of a visiting female academic, and later a semi-permanent worker. One cannot say for certain that are ordinary DSNY worker would have the same opinions of the job as

Nagle did share one thing in common with the other sanitatio Nagle if he or she was to write a book about it. Interestingly, Nagle workers – they were all invisible. She was made aware of this faspends an entire chapter attempting to describe the job from a when, on a morning garbage run with two men, a beautiful womanarried male's perspective. Surprisingly, the reader is not made strolled by their operation and one worker, knowing he would not ware of this change in perspective (and change from non ction to be seen, leaned back onto the dump truck, crossed his arms, action) before it begins, and the chapter repeats several thoughts blatantly stared at her, eventually catching a memorable whiff and ideas from previous chapters. There is also an entire chapte her perfume. Nagle got a direct sense of this invisibility herseldevoted to the story of two sanitation department tug boats being when she pleaded in vain with bystanders to step aside so shet at sea during a brutal winter storm. While enjoyable reading, it could sweep up the garbage left by a parade. She decided that ithenly tangentially related to the book's main themes. uniform is responsible for this; they are seen not as individuals, but only as sanitation workers. Nagle wonders how it's possible the only believe Robin Nagle has achieved her goal of

the people responsible for such a key part of any city's health and nsferring sanitation work from the "unmarked" to the "marked"

category of topics. While we are made aware of why sanitation

work is extremely important and dangerous, the book does not

To prove the value and importance of sanitation and a clean city(fer much to challenge the stereotype of the job as extremely the author explains that New York regularly struggled with various monotonous, and relatively uncomplicated. Had she gone into deadly diseases from the 17th through most of the 19th centuries peater detail in describing a typical day's work, this might have due to inadequate sanitation strategies and corruption within thee ated for readers a stronger connection to and appreciation sanitation department. Under Tammany rule, the infant mortality or the men who do the job. Additionally, Nagle appears to have rate increased by 65% from 1810 to 1870, the death rate was 1 missed an opportunity to learn about the internny to Ir 36 in 1860, and at any given point in time, between 50 and 70% of New Yorkers were ill. The Ladies Health Protective Association began a movement for a cleaner New York in 1884, and their goals were achieved after George E. Waring, appointed head of the Department of Street Cleaning in 1894, brought major changes to the department. The result was a far cleaner New York by 1896, removing waste from the streets that was, in some places, kneehigh.

appearance are so unseen by the general population.

In Picking Up: On the Streets and Behind the Trucks with the Sanitation Workers of New York City, Robin Nagle provides very interesting insights on a little-studied profession and her personal